

**From:** Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 6/6/2012 2:56:05 PM  
**To:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:** Joanna Mcdonald/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; bonnie.gross@epa.gov; jacqueline.williams@epa.gov; Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US  
**Subject:** Re: Your Message--Dimock Site

Rich,  
For the record you are not anti-deficient, the funding is there as Lorrie has stated to Joanna we were not aware that there was a second request for an additional \$55K deob.

Will be processed this afternoon

Denise T. Page  
Contracting Officer  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Region III  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 814-5195

From: Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US  
To: Joanna Mcdonald/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, bonnie.gross@epa.gov, jacqueline.williams@epa.gov, Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US, murray.lorrie@epa.gov  
Date: 06/06/2012 02:28 PM  
Subject: Re: Your Message--Dimock Site

Joanna,

Just for the record.....with regard to the OSC (me) being antideficient. My argument would be that I have made the proper requests and they are in process (which I have). If there are problems with the process, (and I am not sure there remains any issue now), but if so....then that process problem doesn't make the OSC personally liable.

It seems like everything has been accomplished that we have needed.. Right? We should make sure that everything adds up correctly, etc. I will continue to look to you for your assistance with that.

thanks.

Rich

Richard M. Fetzer  
Federal On-Scene Coordinator  
100 Gypsum Road  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360  
(215) 341-6307

From: Joanna Mcdonald/R3/USEPA/US  
To: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: bonnie.gross@epa.gov, jacqueline.williams@epa.gov, richard.fetzer@epa.gov, Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US,

murray.lorrie@epa.gov  
Date: 06/06/2012 02:20 PM  
Subject: Re: Your Message--Dimock Site

Denise,

The way the site ceiling works is: an OSC cannot obligate more money than is authorized in an action memo.

Rich had obligated all but 15k to the previous ERRS contract, therefore, he doesn't have any money in his ceiling to add to the new task order. It doesn't matter where you get the money from to us, only that he does not exceed his site ceiling.

In previous emails, you were asked to deobligate 55k from the old task order so that he would have enough ceiling to fund the new task order. He is now nearly out of money again but still has no additional ceiling.

Therefore, in order to fund the mod to the new task order, 55k MUST be deobligated from the old contract. Again, we don't care where the money goes to or comes from, we cannot exceed our action memo ceiling, which we are currently over by 30k. That makes the OSC antideficient, that's why both requests were made.

I have instructed the contractor not to add the 55k mod into their current ceiling because we don't technically have that money until you process the deobligation from the other ceiling.

I hope this helps. If you have any other questions, please let me know.

From: Denise-T Page/R3/USEPA/US  
To: Joanna Mcdonald/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: bonnie.gross@epa.gov, jacqueline.williams@epa.gov, richard.fetzer@epa.gov, Mikal Shabazz/R3/USEPA/US  
Date: 06/06/2012 02:04 PM  
Subject: Your Message--Dimock Site

Joanna,

As per the attached, the deobligation was done on May 16, 2012. Are you referring to an additional deobligation?

[attachment "C\_EPS30703\_35\_6\_R03.pdf" deleted by Joanna Mcdonald/R3/USEPA/US]

Please Advise

Denise T. Page  
Contracting Officer  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Region III  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 814-5195